

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/030,683	01/14/2002	Franz-Josef Dietzen	217460US0PCT	8395	
26474	7590 10/10/2003		EXAM	INER	
KEIL & WEINKAUF			KUHNS, ALLAN R		
	ECTICUT AVENUE, N.V FON, DC 20036	v.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1732		
			DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/10/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

24	
N'	
<b>\</b>	
•	
/	
÷ .	



ASS

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/030,683

Applicant(s)

DIETZEN ET AL.

Examiner KUHUS

Group Art Unit

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n th cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
P riod for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE THREE (3) MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute.	
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.</li> </ul>	
Disposition of Claims	
⊠Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
区 Claim(s)/	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election
Application Papers	requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	
in this national stage application from the International E	• • •
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	4
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	Intervi w Summary, PTO-413
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Applicati n, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Oth r

Office Acti n Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Pap r No.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,683 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite because the cross section is recited in volume units rather than area units. This claim is also confusing due to the use of the phrase "if desired"; substitution of "optionally" is recommended. Clarification is required.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 93/25608 in view of Gluck et al. (5,880,166). WO 93/25608 discloses or suggests the basic claimed process for producing foam sheets or panels with a density of from 20 to 200 g/l and with a cross section of at least 50 cm² (page 15, line 10) by extrusion and foaming of a mixture made from a styrene polymer, from 3 to 15% of a volatile blowing agent, based on each case on the styrene polymer. WO 93/25608 also discloses the use of a volatile blowing agent which is a mixture of water and carbon dioxide within the claimed range at page 8, lines 19-27. WO 93/25608 also discloses the use of carbon black within the claimed composition range at page 13, lines 6-14 while Gluck et al. teach at column 4, lines 2-3 that either graphite or carbon black may be used for reducing thermal conductivity of styrene foams. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Application/Control Number: 10/030,683 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

art to substitute graphite for carbon black in the process of WO 93/25608 since Gluck et al. indicates that either is effective for reducing thermal conductivity.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (703) 308-3462. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (703) 305-5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

9-29-03